

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark
Office
Box PCT
Washington, D.C.20231
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

in its capacity as elected Office

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 14 June 2000 (14.06.00)	
International application No. PCT/GB99/03623	Applicant's or agent's file reference P847.WO
International filing date (day/month/year) 02 November 1999 (02.11.99)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03 November 1998 (03.11.98)
Applicant CROSSLING, Dudley, Bryan	

1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:

☒ in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:

12 May 2000 (12.05.00)

☐ in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:

2. The election ☒ was

☐ was not

made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Authorized officer Olivia RANAIVOJAONA Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38
---	--

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P847.WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No. PCT/GB 99/ 03623	International filing date (day/month/year) 02/11/1999	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 03/11/1998
Applicant CROSSLING, Dudley, Bryan		

This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This International Search Report consists of a total of 3 sheets.

☒ It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Basis of the report

- a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ the international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

- b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

☐ contained in the international application in written form.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.

☐ the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.

☐ the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

2. ☐ Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box I).

3. ☐ Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).

4. With regard to the title,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

☐ the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

5. With regard to the abstract,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

☐ the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No.

☒ as suggested by the applicant.

☐ because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.

☐ because this figure better characterizes the invention.

1☐

None of the figures.

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

**NOTICE INFORMING THE APPLICANT OF THE
COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL
APPLICATION TO THE DESIGNATED OFFICES**

(PCT Rule 47.1(c), first sentence)

To:

CRASKE, Stephen, Allan
Craske & Co.
Patent Law Chambers
15 Queens Terrace
Exeter, Devon EX4 4HJ
ROYAUME-UNI

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 11 May 2000 (11.05.00)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference P847.WO		IMPORTANT NOTICE
International application No. PCT/GB99/03623	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 November 1999 (02.11.99)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03 November 1998 (03.11.98)
Applicant CROSSLING, Dudley, Bryan		

1. Notice is hereby given that the International Bureau has communicated, as provided in Article 20, the international application to the following designated Offices on the date indicated above as the date of mailing of this Notice:
 AU,CN,JP,KP,KR,MA,US

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c), third sentence, those Offices will accept the present Notice as conclusive evidence that the communication of the international application has duly taken place on the date of mailing indicated above and no copy of the international application is required to be furnished by the applicant to the designated Office(s).

2. The following designated Offices have waived the requirement for such a communication at this time:
 AE,AL,AM,AP,AT,AZ,BA,BB,BG,BR,BY,CA,CH,CR,CU,CZ,DE,DK,DM,EA,EE,EP,ES,FI,GB,GD,GE,
 GH,GM,HR,HU,ID,IL,IN,IS,KE,KG,KZ,LC,LK,LR,LS,LT,LU,LV,MD,MG,MK,MN,MW,MX,NO,NZ,OA,
 PL,PT,RO,RU,SD,SE,SG,SI,SK,SL,TJ,TM,TR,TT,TZ,UA,UG,UZ,VN,YU,ZA,ZW
 The communication will be made to those Offices only upon their request. Furthermore, those Offices do not require the applicant to furnish a copy of the international application (Rule 49.1(a-bis)).

3. Enclosed with this Notice is a copy of the international application as published by the International Bureau on
 11 May 2000 (11.05.00) under No. WO 00/26846

REMINDER REGARDING CHAPTER II (Article 31(2)(a) and Rule 54.2)

If the applicant wishes to postpone entry into the national phase until 30 months (or later in some Offices) from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed with the competent International Preliminary Examining Authority before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date.

It is the applicant's sole responsibility to monitor the 19-month time limit.


Note that only an applicant who is a national or resident of a PCT Contracting State which is bound by Chapter II has the right to file a demand for international preliminary examination.

REMINDER REGARDING ENTRY INTO THE NATIONAL PHASE (Article 22 or 39(1))

If the applicant wishes to proceed with the international application in the national phase, he must, within 20 months or 30 months, or later in some Offices, perform the acts referred to therein before each designated or elected Office.

For further important information on the time limits and acts to be performed for entering the national phase, see the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 (Notification of Receipt of Record Copy) and Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer <div style="text-align: right;">J. Zahra</div>
Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35	Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

INFORMATION CONCERNING ELECTED OFFICES NOTIFIED OF THEIR ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.3)

To:

CRASKE, Stephen, Allan
Craske & Co.
Patent Law Chambers
15 Queens Terrace
Exeter, Devon EX4 4HJ
ROYAUME-UNI

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 14 June 2000 (14.06.00)		IMPORTANT INFORMATION	
Applicant's or agent's file reference P847.WO			
International application No. PCT/GB99/03623	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 November 1999 (02.11.99)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03 November 1998 (03.11.98)	
Applicant CROSSLING, Dudley, Bryan			

1. The applicant is hereby informed that the International Bureau has, according to Article 31(7), notified each of the following Offices of its election:

AP : GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW
EP : AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE
National : AU, BG, BR, CA, CN, CZ, DE, IL, JP, KP, KR, MN, NO, NZ, PL, RO, RU, SE, SK, US

2. The following Offices have waived the requirement for the notification of their election; the notification will be sent to them by the International Bureau only upon their request:

EA : AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM
OA : BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG
National : AE, AL, AM, AT, AZ, BA, BB, BY, CH, CR, CU, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IN, IS, KE, KG, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MW, MX, PT, SD, SG, SI, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW

3. The applicant is reminded that he must enter the "national phase" before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date before each of the Offices listed above. This must be done by paying the national fee(s) and furnishing, if prescribed, a translation of the international application (Article 39(1)(a)), as well as, where applicable, by furnishing a translation of any annexes of the international preliminary examination report (Article 36(3)(b) and Rule 74.1).

Some offices have fixed time limits expiring later than the above-mentioned time limit. For detailed information about the applicable time limits and the acts to be performed upon entry into the national phase before a particular Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The entry into the European regional phase is postponed until 31 months from the priority date for all States designated for the purposes of obtaining a European patent.



<p>The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland</p> <p>Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35</p>	<p>Authorized officer: Olivia RANAIVOJAONA</p> <p>Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38</p>
---	---

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 27 NOV 2000

WIPO

PCT

PCT

15

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P847.WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/GB99/03623	International filing date (day/month/year) 02/11/1999	Priority date (day/month/year) 03/11/1998
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G06K9/00		
Applicant CROSSLING, Dudley, Bryan		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.



2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.

- ☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the report
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☒ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 12/05/2000	Date of completion of this report 23.11.2000
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized officer Viets, A Telephone No. +49 89 2399 2577 

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB99/03623

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of *(substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).):*

Description, pages:

1-9 as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-8 as originally filed

Drawings, sheets:

1-2 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/GB99/03623

☐ the drawings, sheets:

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-8
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-8
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-8
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations
see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:
see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB99/03623

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1:US-A-4 630 225 (HISANO ATUSHI) 16 December 1986.

2. The solution proposed in Claims 1-8 of the present application is not new (Article 33(2) PCT) in view of D1:

as to claims 1,7,8: see D1, col. 2, lines 57-62 and col. 3, lines 14-23;

as to claim 2: see D1, col. 3, lines 45-55;

as to claims 3,4: see D1, figure 5.

as to claim 5: see D1, figures 4A,4B;

as to claim 6: see D1, col. 2, lines 47-53.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.

2. The features of claim 1 are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : G06K 9/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/26846 (43) International Publication Date: 11 May 2000 (11.05.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB99/03623 (22) International Filing Date: 2 November 1999 (02.11.99) (30) Priority Data: 9823945.2 ✓ 3 November 1998 (03.11.98) GB (71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: CROSSLING, Dudley, Bryan [GB/GB]; 23 Burn River Rise, Veille Park, Torquay, Devon TQ2 7RH (GB). (74) Agent: CRASKE, Stephen, Allan; Craske & Co., Patent Law Chambers, 15 Queens Terrace, Exeter, Devon EX4 4HJ (GB).		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i>
(54) Title: IMPRINT IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM <div> </div> (57) Abstract <p>Images of imprints, e.g. made by items of footwear, are displayed on a computer screen. Any identification features A-D which are present in the image are tagged and the length of all the lines 1-6 joining the tagged features are calculated and the distance information is added to a database together with the images themselves. The polygons defined by the lines 1-4 are independent of the positioning or orientation of the image and are used to retrieve images likely to originate from the same article by applying search criteria which retrieves records containing distances falling within selected bands.</p>		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

IMPRINT IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to a method of identifying footwear or other impressions left, for example, at places where crimes have been committed. Although the following description refers specifically to footwear it will be appreciated that the method is also applicable to impressions left by vehicle tyres, parts of the body or tools for example.

BACKGROUND

WO 97/28 513 discloses a system in which a digital image of a footprint is captured, displayed on a computer screen, cropped to a predetermined size, and the rectangular co-ordinates of any unique identification features are recorded and stored in a database. The stored co-ordinates can then be compared to identify any similar sets of co-ordinates which are likely to originate from the same article. In order to reduce registration errors care must be exercised in the positioning of the image. In addition, in order to take account of any registration errors multiple comparisons are made with the sets of co-ordinates being incremented or decremented between comparisons.

The present invention seeks to provide an inventive improvement on the earlier system.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention proposes a method of imprint identification, comprising:

- obtaining, with a predetermined reproduction ratio, an image from an imprint produced by an article; and
- recording the co-ordinates of identification features present in the image; characterised by
- calculating the distances between such co-ordinates;
- storing a record of the distances thereby obtained in a database containing a number of similar records; and
- comparing the distance information of the stored records to identify records likely to have been derived from the same article.

If the lines joining the co-ordinates are displayed it will be found that they produce a polygon formed of a number of triangles. Each such polygon will be unique to the particular article from which the image was obtained. Moreover, the shape of the polygon as defined by the spatial information (distances) will not change with time and will be independent of the positioning and orientation of the image. Thus, by comparing the distance records, imprints likely to originate from the same article can quickly be identified without the need for multiple comparisons. The requirement for accurate positioning of the image is therefore eliminated and the retrieval speed is greatly improved.

The sets or distances are preferably selected according to defined search parameters covering a range of distances. By adjusting the parameters the number or recovered records can be changed. Thus, by progressively restricting the search parameters the number of records can be progressively reduced until only records likely to originate from the same article are identified.

The retrieved records may be displayed in various ways, but it is generally convenient to display the records on separate rows of a table with the distance information arranged in columns, preferably in numerical order.

The database preferably includes the images themselves so that the images can be downloaded and visually compared when required. Thus, images possessing similar polygons but different tread patterns can quickly be eliminated since they obviously originate from different articles.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The following description and the accompanying drawings referred to therein are included by way of non-limiting example in order to illustrate how the invention may be put into practice. In the drawings:

Figure 1 is an image of a shoe imprint as used in the method of the invention, and

Figure 2 is a spread-sheet table used to display distance data taken from a number of such images.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

A digital image is obtained from a footprint found at the scene of a crime. The image is taken in a fixed reproduction ratio in accordance with any of the techniques described in WO 97/28 513. The image is immediately written to a compact disc (CD-ROM or DVD) in a bitmap file format for permanent storage so that it can be retrieved for future use, e.g. for production in evidence in criminal proceedings. Examples of suitable bitmap file formats are Windows BMP, TIFF and TGA. To facilitate accurate identification of the image, identification data is incorporated into the header of the bitmap file, e.g. the date, time and location where the image was taken. Furthermore, in order to eliminate the possibility of tampering a non-alterable duplicate copy of the image (known as a watermark) is recorded on the CD. The duplicate image cannot normally be displayed except by using secure retrieval software which enables the two images to be overlayed and compared such that any discrepancy between the two images is highlighted.

In addition to permanent storage the images are subjected to further processing in a way which will now be described. The image is displayed on a computer screen and cropped if necessary to remove any unwanted margins around the footprint. The display resolution is initially set so that the full image is displayed, as shown in Fig. 1. Any characterising marks present in the image are then tagged to record their rectangular (x, y) co-ordinates by positioning a cursor anywhere on the area of a mark and clicking with the computer mouse. The kind of features which are recorded generally fall into two categories:

i) Manufacturing (moulding) defects.

ii) Damage caused through wear, such as physical damage (e.g. cuts), inclusions (e.g. pieces of flint or metal), or areas of heavy general wear due to the particular gait of the wearer.

In order to allow more detailed examination of the image and identification of characterising features as well as facilitating more accurate positioning of the cursor within a characterising mark the image can be zoomed by up to 1,600% to increase the display resolution of any desired area. It will however be appreciated that magnifying the image in this way does not change the underlying resolution of the stored image.

Although the cursor can be manually positioned at the centre of a mark more accurate and reproducible results can be achieved by utilising software algorithms which calculate the geometrical centre of the characterising marks. Software sub-routines can auto-trace the contrast boundary of the mark, calculate the geometrical centre of the traced area, and then auto-align the cursor with the calculated point. Accurate positioning is therefore possible even with irregular areas of damage, e.g. diffuse areas of general wear.

Different kinds of characterising feature can be tagged with particular identifying symbols (e.g. circle, cross, star etc.). The ability to distinguish between different kinds of feature further enhances the discrimination of the system.

Unless the footwear is virtually new, at least three characterising features

will normally be present. In the example shown in Fig. 1 four such features are identified, labelled A to D. When all the features have been tagged, the computer calculates the distances between all of the tagged points. In the case of the image shown in Fig. 1, the four points produce six distance values which are represented by the lines 1 to 6 in the drawing. These lines are not necessarily displayed to the user but they are shown in the drawing to illustrate the unique polygon which they define.

It will be appreciated that the distance values and the shape of the polygon will be the same irrespective of the positioning of the image, and in fact, even the orientation of the image will not alter the resulting distances. Only the base resolution of the image (e.g. the number of pixels per cm) will affect the distances, but this is eliminated by ensuring that all images are obtained with a known reproduction ratio (conveniently 1:1).

The manual tags and polygons are stored as separate files appended to the original image file, and the calculated distance values are added to a central database. Each new set of readings creates a new record in the database. The image is also uploaded to the database together with the appended tag files.

By using appropriate search criteria it is possible to retrieve records which have similar distance values. Initially it will generally be desirable to use broad search criteria, e.g. all distances falling within a small number of defined distance bands. The retrieved records are then conveniently displayed in spread-sheet format, as shown in Fig. 2. Each row of the spread-sheet corresponds to a different record. The search criteria can be progressively narrowed to reduce the number of records until only those

likely to originate from the same item of footwear are displayed. By displaying the distance values falling within different bands in different colours it is possible to quickly identify the records most likely to be of interest. For example, in the drawing the values having the suffix "R" will be displayed in red, those having the suffix "Y" would be yellow, and "B" would be blue. Thus, there are only two records (rows 5 and 6) which contain values falling within all three specified bands, and these records can be selected to allow examination of the records and their associated files in more detail.

As the item of footwear ages additional characterising features will be added so that the number of distance values obtained from an image will tend to increase. It is important to appreciate however that the distances between existing features will not change so that sufficient common distance values will still be present to allow accurate retrieval of related records. It is of course possible that characterising marks will be lost as the footwear ages and shallow features wear away, but again there will generally be a sufficient number of common values remaining to allow reliable retrieval of related records.

When records which might be related have been identified the original bitmap images and tag files can be downloaded for detailed examination. Clearly, any images having similar distance values but different tread patterns can be eliminated at this stage. A manual examination will generally confirm whether the footprints originated from the same item of footwear. The tags and polygons can be superimposed on any image to assist manual comparison and identification of related images.

A second database can be set up as described in the aforementioned patent specification, containing similar data obtained by scanning the footwear of known suspects whilst they are held in custody. Again, the images are added to the second database with identification data recorded in the bitmap file header. Such details include date, time and location of image recording, the name and collar number of the officer who made the recording, the station code, suspects name, custody number, nominal number, shoe make, model, size, offence, and (if desired) other details pertaining to the offence in free text form. It is thus possible, by searching and comparing data from both databases, to link individual offenders to the scenes of crimes at which footprints were retrieved.

In summary therefore, by comparing the distance records, imprints likely to originate from the same article can quickly be identified. The requirement for accurate positioning of the image is eliminated and the retrieval speed is greatly improved. The image retrieval process does not affect other substances which might be present on a suspects footwear so that it can then be examined for forensic evidence. There is also a significant reduction in running costs compared with existing image retrieval and storage systems.

The items of information which can be added to the database records can be extended to include additional unique identifying data with the object of further improving the accuracy and reliability of the data retrieval. For example, in addition to the co-ordinates of the tagged areas the database can include the points of intersection of the lines joining the tagged points. Furthermore, the polygon can be linked to a specific moulding pattern by recording the co-ordinates of the points of intersection between the lines of

the polygon and features of the sole pattern. Even greater discrimination can be achieved by recording the angle of incidence at the said points of intersection.

Although this example refers to imprints obtained from items of footwear it will be appreciated that a similar system can be used to compare unique areas of damage or characteristic defects in other articles such as vehicle tyres or tools. Similarly, by tagging known reference points in imprints left by body parts which vary in shape between individuals, e.g. ear imprints, similar sets of distance information can be derived which can be used to identify imprints originating from the same individual.

It will be appreciated that the features disclosed herein may be present in any feasible combination. Whilst the above description lays emphasis on those areas which, in combination, are believed to be new, protection is claimed for any inventive combination of the features disclosed herein.

CLAIMS

1. A method of imprint identification, comprising:
 - obtaining, with a predetermined reproduction ratio, an image from an imprint produced by an article; and
 - recording the co-ordinates of identification features present in the image; characterised by
 - calculating the distances between such co-ordinates;
 - storing a record of the distances thereby obtained in a database containing a number of similar records; and
 - comparing the distance information of the stored records to identify records likely to have been derived from the same article.
2. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 1, in which the records are retrieved from the database using search parameters which cover a plurality of defined distance bands.
3. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 2, in which the records are displayed on separate rows of a table with the distances arranged in columns
4. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 3, in which the distances are displayed in numerical order (ascending or descending).
5. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 3, in which distances which fall within the search parameters are visually

distinguished.

6. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 1, in which the database includes downloadable images of the imprints.

7. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 1, in which the database contains the co-ordinates of the identification features from which the distance information is derived.

8. A method of imprint identification according to Claim 1, in which the database contains a further group of records containing distance information obtained directly from articles.

1/2




FIG 1

2/2

	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
1											
2											
3	SOCO										
4	WAW	569.4647	559.0295 R	528.5319	94.82616	47.12749 B	37.48333				
5	WAW	577.0139	557.2477 R	532.0489	143.5444 Y	115.447	57.68882	51.89412	47.53946 B	45.54119 B	9.055385
6	WAW	571.4237	558.0367 R	529.5253	139.671 Y	131.0267	83.63014	48.10405 B			
7	WAW	577.0078	557.2477 R	533.0375	145.4373	132.9812	115.6936	51.89412	46.57252 B	46.38965 B	9
8	WAW	551.1343 R	532.6434	134.8369	10.04988						
9	WAW	553.8132 R	541.7906	538.0279	176.1193	106.0424	59.03389	51.86521	42.15448 B	25.63201	
10											
11											
12											
13	WAW	569.4647	559.0295	528.5319	94.82616	47.12749	37.48333				
14	WAW	577.0139	557.2477	532.0489	143.5444	115.447	57.68882	51.89412	47.53946	45.54119	9.055385
15	WAW	571.4237	558.0367	529.5253	139.671	131.0267	83.63014	48.10405			
16	WAW	577.0078	557.2477	533.0375	145.4373	132.9812	115.6936	51.89412	46.57252	46.38965	9
17	WAW	551.1343	532.6434	134.8369	10.04988						
18	WAW	553.8132	541.7906	538.0279	176.1193	106.0424	59.03389	51.86521	42.15448	25.63201	
19											
20											

FIG 2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. l. Application No

PCT/GB 99/03623

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 G06K9/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G06K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 4 630 225 A (HISANO ATUSHI) 16 December 1986 (1986-12-16) the whole document ---	1
X	LIEBE C C: "PATTERN RECOGNITION OF STAR CONSTELLATIONS FOR SPACECRAFT APPLICATIONS" IEEE AEROSPACE AND ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS MAGAZINE, US, IEEE INC. NEW YORK, vol. 8, no. 1, January 1993 (1993-01), page 31-39 XP000197738 ISSN: 0885-8985 page 33, paragraph "Star Characteristics" - page 36, first paragraph; figures 2-11 --- -/--	1



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

2 February 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

16/02/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Sonius, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 99/03623

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
A	<p>PHILLIPS M: "A SHOEPRINT IMAGE CODING AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM"</p> <p>ECOS. EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON SECURITY AND DETECTION, 16 May 1995 (1995-05-16), page 267-271 XP000672461</p> <p>the whole document</p> <p style="text-align: center;">---</p>	1-8
A	<p>HEIKKI MAJAMAA: "Survey of the conclusions drawn of similar footwear cases in various crime laboratories"</p> <p>FORENSIC SCIENCE INTERNATIONAL, vol. 82, no. 1, 1996, pages 109-120, XP002127808</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-----</p>	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 99/03623

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4630225 A	16-12-1986	JP 1793665 C	14-10-1993
		JP 4079796 B	16-12-1992
		JP 59059397 A	05-04-1984
<hr/>			

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☒ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER: _____**

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.